

Information Security Integration Within the Enterprise Reference Architecture Model *Part 1 - Foundation*

Guy B. Sereff 15 August 2013



About The Presenter

Guy B. Sereff

- Author, Speaker and Technology Practitioner
- Vice President / Enterprise Architecture
- Technology Industry Experience
 - Application Research & Development (12 years)
 - Large-Scale Technology Management (8 years)
 - Global Enterprise Architecture (7 years)
- Enterprise Architecture Domain Experience
 - Business Architecture
 - Information Architecture
 - Application Architecture
 - Solution Architecture
 - Architecture Governance
- Pragmatic Blend of Strategy and Tactical Execution







Agenda

Fundamental Definitions and Relationships

- Enterprise Architecture
- Enterprise Architecture Framework
- Enterprise Reference Architecture Model

Information Security Architecture Considerations

- Information Security Architecture
- Industry Certifications and Standards
- Information Security Architecture Framework Tools
 Case Study

Recommended Next Steps

Questions and Comments





Enterprise Architecture

Holistic cross-cutting view of the organization's goals, objectives, existing capabilities, competitive advantages and external disruptive forces in order to formulate a strategy and align resources towards desired outcomes and objectives

Amalgamation of various architecture disciplines and domains, typically with an emphasis on technology and process optimization

The purpose of Enterprise Architecture is to optimize across the enterprise the often fragmented legacy of processes (both manual and automated) into an integrated environment that is responsive to change and supportive of the delivery of the business strategy.*

Enterprise Architecture (EA) is a discipline for proactively and holistically leading enterprise <u>responses to disruptive forces</u> by identifying and analyzing the execution of change toward desired business vision and outcomes. EA delivers value by presenting business and IT leaders with signatureready recommendations for adjusting policies and projects to achieve target business outcomes that capitalize on relevant business disruptions. EA is <u>used to steer decision-making</u> toward the evolution of the future state architecture.**

Strategy-Centric *versus* Technology-Centric

8/15/13



Common Enterprise Architecture Domains*





Enterprise Architecture Frameworks

Recognized best practices have evolved over time, encapsulated as *Enterprise Architecture Frameworks* that can help organizations bring proven structure and discipline to their Enterprise Architecture practice

Industrial Strength EA Frameworks have emerged from both the public and private sectors based on repeatable patterns of success

Primary Sources of EA Frameworks:

- Industry Consortiums
- Collaborative Communities (Open Source)
- Private Sector Entities
- Public Sector Entities

An **architecture framework** is a foundational structure, or set of structures, which can be used for developing a broad range of different architectures. It should describe a method for designing a target state of the enterprise in terms of a set of building blocks, and for showing how the building blocks fit together. It should contain a set of tools and provide common vocabulary. It should also include a list of recommended standards and compliant products that can be used to implement the building blocks.*



Enterprise Architecture Frameworks

Consortia Frameworks	Open-Source Frameworks	Proprietary Frameworks	Military Frameworks	Public Sector Frameworks
• GERAM	• TRAK	• AM	• DoDAF (US)	• GEA
IDEAS Group	• MEGAF	• SAM	• MODAF (UK)	• FEAF
• RM-ODP	Praxeme	• IAF	• NAF (NATO)	• TEAF
• TOGAF	• GOD	• CLEAR	• AGATE (FR)	• NO5A
• GEAM	• SABSA	• OBASHI	• DNDA <mark>F</mark> (CAN)	
• ARCON	• LEAD	• IFW		
• Dragon-1		• SAP EAF		
	Zachman			
• ASSIMPLER				
Hybrid or Proprietary Internal Enterprise Architecture Framework				



Enterprise Reference Architecture Model

Pre-populated *Domain Reference Architecture Templates* published and available for anyone needing to deploy the capabilities captured within that domain

Discretely articulated set of constructs, or building blocks, that define particular functional and nonfunctional architectural models relevant to the entity

Benefits

- Variation reduction across common platforms and capability solutions (reduce valueless complexity)
- Solution Delivery acceleration
- Available repository of pre-defined and preapproved components
- Rapid deployment of realized Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) services in a flexible cloud or cloud-like environment.

Briefly, a **reference architecture** consists of <u>information accessible</u> to all project team members that provides a <u>consistent set of architectural best</u> <u>practices</u>. These can be embodied in many forms: prior project artifacts, company standards, design patterns, commercial frameworks, and so forth. The <u>mission</u> of the reference architecture is to provide <u>an asset base that projects can draw from</u> at the beginning of the project life cycle <u>and add to</u> at the end of the project*



Common Reference Architecture Components

Domain Meta Data Description Version Stakeholders 	 Business Capabilities Client-Facing Functionality Transactional Tasks Competitive Analysis 	 Architectural Approach Guiding Principles/Patterns Platform Independent Models Applied Industry Model(s) 	
 Domain Scope In Scope / Out of Scope Cross-Domain Dependencies Critical Success Factors 	 Operational Capabilities Process Mapping Workflow Integration Efficiency Drivers 	 Technical Components Platform Specific Model Approved Components Buy/Hold/Sell Technical Assets 	
 Strategy Disruptive vs. Adoptive Approach Strategic End State Targeted Competency Level 	 System Capabilities Functional Non-Functional Information Flow 	 Conformance Roadmap Current State Analysis End State Conformance Timeline Program Alignment 	



Typical Reference Architecture Domains





Contextual View

Enterprise Architecture domains provide strategic points of view through various lenses

Enterprise Architecture Frameworks support the Enterprise Architecture Practice with consistent methodologies, templates and governance mechanisms

Enterprise Reference Architecture models provide consumable design accelerants and implementation standards to guide and govern solution delivery

Advancement towards the *Enterprise Reference Architecture Roadmap* can be measured and tracked over time to assess conformance velocity and missed opportunities





Enterprise Information Security Architecture

Holistic view of Information Security across all aspects of the enterprise and risk mitigation, both from outside the digital perimeter and from within

Critical to understand, establish and execute an effective risk assessment, prioritization and threat neutralization strategy

How secure is *secure enough*?

Security is <u>all about protecting business goals and</u> <u>assets</u>. It means providing a set of business <u>controls</u> that are <u>matched to</u> business <u>needs</u>, which in turn are <u>derived from</u> an assessment and analysis of business <u>risk</u>. The objective in risk assessment is to <u>prioritize risks</u> so as <u>to focus on</u> those [risks] that most require <u>mitigation</u>.*

Enterprise Information Security Architecture (EISA) is the practice of applying a <u>comprehensive and rigorous method</u> for describing a current and/or future structure and behavior for an organization's security processes, information security systems, personnel and organizational sub-units, so that they align with the organization's core goals and strategic direction. Although often associated strictly with information security technology, it relates more broadly to the security practice of business optimization in that it addresses business security architecture, performance management and security process architecture as well.**

<u>Risk-Centric</u> versus Technology-Centric



Common Information Security Strategy Components

Communication Channels

Acquisition Integration / Divestiture Segregation

Operational Business Processes

Discrete Security Operations

Disaster Recover / Business Continuity

Compliance and Policies

Projects and Change Management

Physical Security

Security Architecture and Design

Platform / Channel Specific Considerations Identity Verification / Entitlement Management Vulnerability Management





Information Security Certifications

Several bodies of Information Security knowledge have emerged, resulting in documented *best practices* and professional certifications

Certified resources can help an organization quickly establish an *Enterprise Information Security Center of Excellence*

Note: Information Security certifications are generally *not* industry specific or business contextually aware

Example Certifications: International Information Systems Security Certification Consortium, or (ISC)2[®]*

- **CISSP**: Certified Information Security Professional
- **CAP**: Certified Authorization Professional
- **SSCP**: Systems Security Certified Practitioner
- **CSSLP**: Certified Secure Software Lifecycle Professional
- CCFP: Certified Cyber Forensic Professional

CISSP Domains	CAP Domains			
 Access Control Telecommunications and Network Security Information Security Governance and Risk Management Software Development Security Cryptography Security Architecture and Design Operations Security Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery Planning Legal, Regulations, Investigations and Compliance Physical (Environmental) Security 	 Understand the Security Authorization of Information Systems Categorize Information Systems Establish the Security Control Baseline Apply Security Controls Assess Security Controls Authorize Information System Monitor Security Controls 			
CCFP Domains	SSCP Domains			
 Legal and Ethical Principles Investigations Forensic Science Digital Forensics Application Forensics Hybrid and Emerging Technologies 	 Access Controls Security Operations and Administration Monitoring and Analysis Risk, Response and Recovery Cryptography Networks and Communications Malicious Code and Activity 			
CSSLP Domains				
Socura Softwara Conconts				

- Secure Software Requirements
- Secure Software Design



Information Security Architecture and TOGAF®*

Security Architecture first introduced into TOGAF 8 as a supplemental white paper (Guide to Security Architecture in TOGAF ADM - 2005)

Security Architecture formally added to TOGAF 9 (See Chapter 21)

Specific Security Architecture steps are defined for each of the nine TOGAF phases, including Key Considerations, Inputs and Outputs

TOGAF further defines eight suggested areas Security Architects should focus on when considering *Security Architecture*

Note - TOGAF addresses *Security Architecture* as an *extension* to each phase, which may not convey the high level of criticality to the overall Enterprise Architecture definition





TOGAF Recommended Security Architecture Areas*

Authentication	• The substantiation of the identity of a person or entity related to a system in some way
Authorization	 The definition and enforcement of permitted capabilities for a person or entity whose identity has been established
Audit	• The ability to provide forensic data attesting that the system was used in accordance with stated security policies
Assurance	 The ability to test and prove that the system has the security attributes required to uphold the stated security policies
Availability	 The ability of the system to function without service interruption or depletion despite abnormal or malicious events.
Asset Protection	 The protection of information assets from loss or unintended disclosure, and resources from unauthorized and unintended use.
Administration	• The ability to add and change security policies, add or change how policies are implemented in the system, and add or change the persons or entities related to the system.
Risk Management	• The organization's attitude and tolerance for risk. (This risk management is different from the special definition found in financial markets and insurance institutions that have formal risk management departments)

ISO / IEC 27000 Information Security Management Systems

ISO/IEC jointly published Information Security standards based on best practices observed across industries and geographic boundaries

Represents a body of information security knowledge referred to as the *Information Security Management System* (ISMS) family of standards

These standards include audit and certification criteria, which may be an important consideration or distinction in certain industries or settings – No guarantee but provides an objective Information Security assessment

Suggestion: Review ISO/IEC 27000:2012 to get an overview of each of the subsequent standards to determine their applicability to your organization and to glean best practices





National Information Assurance Partnership*

Established as a coalition between the public and private sectors to validate how well IT products adhere to certain security-related international Information Security standards

Common Criteria Evaluation and Valuation Scheme (CCEVS) published as a series of documents that provide a means of evaluating the security capabilities of software products

Organizations can evaluate and potentially enhance the software-related components of their Information Security Architecture by reviewing NAIP's CCEVS publications and applying the specified criteria to their own platforms





Information Security Architecture Frameworks

Just as there are *Enterprise Architecture Frameworks* available, there are also *Information Security Architecture Frameworks* as well

Some Information Security Frameworks are industrycentric, such as the HITRUST Common Security Framework (CFS), which is focused on Healthcare, while other frameworks are more universal, such as IBM's Information Security Framework (ISF)

Some Information Security Frameworks, such as the Sherwood Applied Business Security Architecture (SABSA) are aligned to and designed for a particular Enterprise Architecture Framework (i.e. Zachman)

No framework works right out of the box – there is a significant amount of work ahead, but the framework acts as a blueprint to establishing the Information Security Architecture content (policies, practices, procedures and oversight)





SABSA: Sherwood Applied Business Security Architecture^{*}

SABSA model is an independent extension of the Zachman Framework metamodel

Thoroughly describes and defines risks and threats from an Information Security perspective

The SABSA Matrix applies 'what-why-how-who-wherewhen' points of view to each architectural layer

- Builds a comprehensive set of artifacts that essentially outline the organization's Information Security Architecture
- Solid tool for assessing and defining the organization's Information Security model from a business and risk management perspective
- Whether SABSA is formally adopted or not, it can be used to compare an organization's existing approach to confirm topical coverage and to identify potential gaps that need to be addressed

SABSA	Zachman Framework**	
Contextual Security Architecture	Scope (Contextual) - Planner	
Conceptual Security Architecture	Business Model (Conceptual) - Owner	
Logical Security Architecture	System Model (Logical) - Designer	
Physical Security Architecture	Technology Model (Physical) - Builder	
Component Security Architecture	Detailed Representations (Out-of-Context) - Subcontractor	
Operational Security Architecture	Functioning Enterprise	



SABSA Matrix

SABSA MATRIX						
	ASSETS (What)	MOTIVATION (Why)	PROCESS (How)	PEOPLE (Who)	LOCATION (Where)	TIME (When)
CONTEXTUAL ARCHITECURE	Business Decisions	Business Risk	Business Processes	Business Governance	Business Geography	Business Time Dependence
	Taxonomy of Business Assets, including Goals & Objectives	Opportunities & Threats Inventory	Inventory of Operational Processes	Organisational Structure & the Extended Enterprise	Inventory of Buildings, Sites, Territories, Jurisdictions, etc.	Time dependencies of business objectives
CONCEPTUAL ARCHITECTURE	Business Knowledge & Risk Strategy	Risk Management Objectives	Strategies for Process Assurance	Roles & Responsibilities	Domain Framework	Time Management Framework
	Business Attributes Profile	Enablement & Control Objectives; Policy Architecture	Process Mapping Framework; Architectural Strategies for ICT	Owners, Custodians and Users; Service Providers & Customers	Security Domain Concepts & Framework	Through-Life Risk Management Framework
	Information Assets	Risk Management Policies	Process Maps & Services	Entity & Trust Framework	Domain Maps	Calendar & Timetable
LOGICAL ARCHITECTURE	Inventory of Information Assets	Domain Policies	Information Flows; Functional Transformations; Service Oriented Architecture	Entity Schema; Trust Models; Privilege Profiles	Domain Definitions; Inter-domain associations & interactions	Start Times, Lifetimes & Deadlines
PHYSICAL ARCHITECTURE	Data Assets	Risk Management Practices	Process Mechanisms	Human Interface	ICT Infrastructure	Processing Schedule
	Data Dictionary & Data Inventory	Risk Management Rules & Procedures	Applications; Middleware; Systems; Security Mechanisms	User Interface to ICT Systems; Access Control Systems	Host Platforms, Layout & Networks	Timing & Sequencing of Processes and Sessions
COMPONENT ARCHITECTURE	ICT Components	Risk Management Tools & Standards	Process Tools & Standards	Personnel Man'ment Tools & Standards	Locator Tools & Standards	Step Timing & Sequencing Tools
	ICT Products, including Data Repositories and Processors	Risk Analysis Tools; Risk Registers; Risk Monitoring and Reporting Tools	Tools and Protocols for Process Delivery	Identities; Job Descriptions; Roles; Functions; Actions & Access Control Lists	Nodes, Addresses and other Locators	Time Schedules; Clocks, Timers & Interrupts
SERVICE MANAGEMENT ARCHITECTURE	Service Delivery Management	Operational Risk Management	Process Delivery Management	Personnel Management	Management of Environment	Time & Performance Management
	Assurance of Operational Continuity & Excellence	Risk Assessment; Risk Monitoring & Reporting; Risk Treatment	Management & Support of Systems, Applications & Services	Account Provisioning; User Support Management	Management of Buildings, Sites, Platforms & Networks	Management of Calendar and Timetable



Case Study - 2013 Global ATM Heist

Sophisticated crime involving alleged *insider system manipulation* and *fraudulent exploitation* of known vulnerabilities

- Global Network of operatives in nearly 30+ Countries
- Orchestrated Timeline
 - October 2012 System Breach Prep Work
 - December 2012 'Trial Run' nets USD 5MM
 - February 2013 'Synchronized Assault' nets an additional USD 40MM
- Targeted Institutions had transactional security mechanisms that were known to be weak within a 'secure' ecosystem
- Sophistication increased up through the crime perpetrator pyramid, including global transaction monitoring and funds recovery tracking
- Swift synchronized concurrent attacks on the system took hours to detect and prevent both times

Hackers stole \$45 million in ATM card breach





Too Late for Them; What About Your Organization?

In 2012, Carnegie Mellon's *Software Engineering Institute* offered the following recommendations related to insider fraud management:^{*}

- Clearly document and consistently enforce policies and controls
- Institute periodic security awareness training for all employees
- Include unexplained financial gain in any periodic reinvestigations of employees
- Log, monitor, and audit employee online actions
- Pay special attention to Accountants and Managers
- Restrict access to personally identifiable information
- Develop an Insider Incident Response Plan

INSIDE FINANC	ER FRAUD IN
	SERVICES
CERT Software Engineering Institute	



Recommended Next Steps

- Evaluate existing Enterprise Architecture practices and governance mechanisms
- Evaluate the existing Information Security Architecture definitions, polices and procedures – shore up critical risks and vulnerabilities
- Ensure security fraud prevention and fraud detection techniques address threats from both outside <u>and</u> inside the organization's perimeter
- Join us for *Part 2 Implementation*, where we'll we'll put these foundational principles to work in the form of the *Enterprise Reference Architecture Model* and as an integral part of the *Solution Delivery Process*

Remember the words of John Grisham's fictional character Bill DeVasher from *The Firm*: "I get paid to be suspicious when I've got nothing to be suspicious about"*



Any Questions?



Orbus Software Group

@OrbusSoftware

Download this presentation and accompanying white paper from: **www.orbussoftware.com/downloads**



Thank You!